Open in app ↗

Medium Search Write

The Falling Maple Orange Terra Nullius Prediction For Canada (Final FMO Prediction Ever)!

Bryan Mullins The Fox 5 min read · Just now

Here’s my final Falling Maple Orange prediction: What will America do if Canada no longer becomes a nation (under the Montevideo Convention)?


AI Overview
When a country's population reaches zero, it loses the "permanent population" requirement for statehood under the Montevideo Convention. This triggers immediate losses of international personality, treaty capacity, and sovereignty, turning the area into an unclaimed territory (terra nullius) or leading to its absorption by neighboring states. Nature Environment and Pollution Tech... +2
A country's population dropping straight to zero triggers the ultimate economic collapse: an absolute loss of GDP, systemic deflation, and the total abandonment of infrastructure. There are no consumers, workers, or taxpayers left, leading to abandoned property markets, worthless national currency, and the total extinction of the state's economy. Wikipedia +4

This collage of screenshots I gathered on June 26th, states that "when a country's population reaches zero, it loses the "permanent population" requirement for statehood under the Montevideo Convention. This triggers immediate losses of international personality, treaty capacity, and sovereignty, turning the area into "unclaimed territory" (or *Terra Nullius*) or leading to its absorption by neighboring states."

It also states "A country's population dropping straight to zero triggers the ultimate economic collapse: an absolute loss of GDP, systemic deflation, and the total abandonment of infrastructure. There are no consumers, workers, or taxpayers left, leading to abandoned property markets, worthless national currency, and the total extinction of the state's economy!"

When Canada's population (in the worse case scenario) drops straight down to zero (assuming the "prime minister" of "Canada" after FMO kills himself after the fact)…just like Jim Jones was too much of a coward to commit to the bit and opted to eat a bullet, Mark Carney would most likely do the same. Having made Mark Carney himself the second enemy of the United States to actually kill himself (while Jim Jones was the first)!

And no, I don't deserve to be put up on a government watch list for "criminal wrongthink" for my extremely realistic prescience and premonitory prediction skills.

But most importantly, how much of a burden is the total loss and extinction of Canada going to be for the United States of America and other countries financially, and what becomes of the Organization of American States?



Contents of the convention [edit]

Article 1 sets out the criteria for a "state as a person of international law":[9]

> The state as a person of international law should possess the following qualifications: (a) a permanent population; (b) a defined territory; (c) government; and (d) capacity to enter into relations with the other states.

The first sentence of Article 3 states that "the political existence of the state is independent of recognition by the other states." This is known as the declarative theory of statehood. It stands in contrast with the constitutive theory of statehood, by which a state exists only insofar as it is recognized by other states. It should not be confused with the Estrada doctrine. "Independence" and "sovereignty" are not mentioned in article 1.[10]



Canada and the OAS [edit]

Although admission into OAS's predecessor, the Pan American Union, was initially restricted to republics, several overtures were still made for Canada to join the organization in 1928, 1933, 1936, and 1938. During the 1936 Pan American Union Conference, the organization extended its membership from only "American republics" to "American states" to accommodate Canada's admission as a constitutional monarchy.[53] However, U.S. opposition to Canadian membership prevented their admittance, with the U.S. fearing the admittance of Canada to the OAS would bring with it British influence that could impede its freedom of action within the organization. The U.S. reversed its position on Canadian membership in 1947.[54] However, by that time, Canadian foreign policy had adopted an Atlanticist position with a European focus;[55] resulting in the Canadian government seeing little value in pursuing OAS membership.[54]

From the 1960s to the 1980s, the Canadian government expressed some interest in joining the OAS, having successfully applied for permanent observer status in 1972 to evaluate potential membership.[56] In the 1980s, the Canadian government incrementally increased its participation in OAS activities.[57] Canada signed the Charter of the Organization of American States in 1989. Canada's membership in the OAS was formalized when the decision was ratified in 1990.[58]

The Organization of American States (OAS) is a major regional group that unites 35 independent nations in the Americas to support democracy, human rights, security, and economic development. Headquartered in Washington, D.C., the United States plays a leading role as a founding member, primary financial contributor, and key partner in shaping regional policies and resolving conflicts.

Organization of American States (OAS)

• Goal: Build cooperation, protect human rights, and promote peace across North, Central, and South America and the Caribbean.

• Core Work: Monitors elections, fights corruption, and supports sustainable social and economic growth.

• Structure: Member countries meet through the General Assembly and work via a Permanent Council.

Role of the United States

• Founding and Hosting: Co-created the group in 1948 and houses its main headquarters in Washington, D.C.

• Funding and Support: Provides a large share of the financial support used for regional programs and democracy-building projects.

• Foreign Policy Tool: Uses the U.S. Mission to the Organization of American States to counter regional threats, promote democratic values, and address security or humanitarian crises.

For the United States, how can this country convert a defunct currency into US Dollar (hoping to gain any money out of it)? They can't. Because if they did that, the US dollar would've been rendered worthless in less than 10 years from now.

Because if the Canadian Dollar is rendered totally worthless, the United States actually loses out on a whole lot of money. More specifically CA$177,757,000,000 to be exact in their complete reserve arsenal. That's exactly $126,492,769,985 lost for the United States in one day.

For The United Kingdom, that's a total of £9,476,136,791.50 ($12,643,109,087.90) lost in one day.



For Europe, that's a total of €15,464,147,972 ($17,700,727,693.19) lost in one day.

And finally for Japan, that's a total of ¥1,034,367,983,000 ($6,322,755,310.48) lost in one day.

That's the equivalent of a total of $36,666,592,091.60, all lost in one day.

The U.S. dollar makes up approximately 68.3% of Canada's convertible foreign currency reserves (or about 52.1% of Canada's total official international reserves across all asset classes).

That accounts for:

#1. U.S. Dollars

#2. Other Foreign Currencies

#3. Special Drawing Rights (SDRs)

#4. IMF Reserve Position

#5. Other Reserve Assets

Canada doesn't even have gold in the first place.

The United States (68.3%) however, will not be the only country financially hurt badly from Falling Maple Orange. The United Kingdom (10%) will feel a big bite come out of their reserve. Europe (14%), will feel quite a hell of a snag in their economy. Finally, Japan (5%) will be slightly affected by this as well.

There's only two things the United States can actually do, to release the financial burden of what will use to be “Canada:”

#1. The United States will have to make a decision to sell all that then-to-be “unclaimed” land and according to the Montevideo convention, America (under future President Vance) needs to make it to where permanent population can once again thrive, but as US territory…in order for what once was “Canada” to be our “51st state!”

#2. Alternatively, The United States can have other countries like Japan, The United Kingdom, France, and some others to buy off individual former provinces of “Canada.”

France could have the potential to buy back territory of what once was Quebec, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia.

The United Kingdom could have the potential to broaden their national territory by taking up what once was Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and British Columbia as part of a Greater Britain itself.

Japan could have the potential of buying and claiming the land of what once was Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Yukon, and even Prince Edward Island itself.

In either of these two scenarios, America has to do something with the territory of the country that is the second largest in land mass.

And those are the predictions and scenarios to finally predict all I can, about Falling Maple Orange.

I'm Bryan Mullins The Fox, signing out!

Thanks for reading this Medium article!

Canada + Falling Maple Orange + United States + Financial Independence + Montevideo +

Written by Bryan Mullins The Fox

1 follower · 1 following

Edit profile

A furry on the internet who has built a name for himself by any means necessary.

No responses yet

Bryan Mullins The Fox

What are your thoughts?

More from Bryan Mullins The Fox